

### **Bridging Arbitrary and Tree Metrics via Differentiable Gromov Hyperbolicity**



**Pierre Houedry** 



Nicolas Courty



Florestan Martin-Baillon



Laetitia Chapel



Titouan Vayer

## Motivations

#### Representation learning



## Motivations

#### Representation learning



## Motivations

#### Representation learning



### Geometries

	Euclidean
Curvature	0
Parallel lines	1
Triangles are	normal
Shape	

### Geometries

	Euclidean	Spherical
Curvature	0	> 0
Parallel lines	1	0
Triangles are	normal	thick
Shape		

### Geometries

	Euclidean	Spherical	Hyperbolic
Curvature	0	> 0	< 0
Parallel lines	1	0	$\infty$
Triangles are	normal	thick	thin
Shape			

geodesic v



### Gromov product

$$(x | y)_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(x, w) + d(y, w) - d(x, y) \right)$$





#### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



 $0 \leq (x | y)_{w} \leq \min\{d(x, w), d(y, w)\}$ car  $(x | y)_{w} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( d(x, y) + d(y, w) + d(y, w) - d(x, y) \right)$ 

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



#### ✦ Interpretation

 $0 \leq (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \leq \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



#### ✦ Interpretation

 $0 \leq (x \mid y)_{w} \leq \min\{d(x, w), d(y, w)\}$ 



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, y) \right)$$





(X, d)



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$







### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$

#### ✦ Interpretation



(X, d)

 $(x | y)_w$  measures how long geodesics  $\overline{wx}$  and  $\overline{wy}$  travel the same distance before diverging

 $\exists !(a, b, c) \ge 0$ d(x, w) = a + bd(x, y) = b + cd(y, w) = a + c



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$





(X, d)

 $(x | y)_w$  measures how long geodesics  $\overline{wx}$  and  $\overline{wy}$  travel the same distance before diverging

 $\exists ! (a, b, c) \ge 0$  d(x, w) = a + b  $d(x, y) = b + c \implies a = (x \mid y)_w$ d(y, w) = a + c



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



#### ✦ Interpretation

 $0 \leq (x \mid y)_{w} \leq \min\{d(x, w), d(y, w)\}$ 



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$





(X, d)



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \le (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \le \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \leq (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \leq \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic iff each side of any  $\Delta$  is contained within the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \leq (x \mid y)_{w} \leq \min\{d(x, w), d(y, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic iff each side of any  $\Delta$  is contained within the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \le (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \le \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic iff each side of any  $\Delta$  is contained within the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides  $\forall p \in [x,y], \, \exists q \in [y,w] \cup [x,w], \, d(p,q) \leq \delta$ 



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \leq (x \mid y)_{w} \leq \min\{d(x, w), d(y, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic iff each side of any  $\Delta$  is contained within the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides  $\forall p \in [x,y], \, \exists q \in [y,w] \cup [x,w], \, d(p,q) \leq \delta$ 



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \le (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \le \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic iff each side of any  $\Delta$  is contained within the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \le (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \le \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

- Triangles in  $\delta$ -hyperbolic spaces are « thin »
- igstarrow The smaller  $\delta$  the thinner

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \leq (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \leq \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

- Triangles in  $\delta$ -hyperbolic spaces are « thin »
- igstarrow The smaller  $\delta$  the thinner  $\overset{_{y}}{}$
- ✤ Trees are 0-hyperbolic

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



 $0 \le (x | y)_w \le \min\{d(x, w), d(y, w)\}$ 

### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### • Interpretation: $\delta$ -slim triangles

- Triangles in  $\delta$ -hyperbolic spaces are « thin »
- igstarrow The smaller  $\delta$  the thinner  $\overset{'}{}$
- ✤ Trees are 0-hyperbolic
- ✤ If (X, d) is 0-hyperbolic it is isometric to a tree

$$\begin{array}{c} x_i \\ x_j \\ x_j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Phi : X \to T \\ x_i \\ d(x_i, x_j) = d_T(\Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j)) \end{array}$$

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \le (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \le \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

• Finite metric space  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ 



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \leq (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \leq \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

★ Finite metric space X = {x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>}  $\delta_X = \max_{x,y,z,w} \left( \min\{(y \mid z)_w, (x \mid z)_w\} - (x \mid y)_w \right)$ 



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \le (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \le \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

★ Finite metric space X = {x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>}  $\delta_X = \max_{x,y,z,w} \left( \min\{(y \mid z)_w, (x \mid z)_w\} - (x \mid y)_w \right)$ 

- Take z = w = y this implies  $\delta_X \ge 0$
- Computation in  $O(n^4)$
- Depends only on *d*, we note  $\delta_d, \delta_D \dots$



### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



 $\Phi: X \to T$ 

### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

 $0 \le (\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})_{w} \le \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, w), d(\mathbf{y}, w)\}$ 

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

• Finite metric space  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ 

 $\exists T \text{ a tree, } \Phi : X \to T$  $d(x_i, x_j) - 2\delta_X \log(n-2) \le d_T(\Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j)) \le d(x_i, x_j)$ 

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



 $0 \le (x | y)_w \le \min\{d(x, w), d(y, w)\}$ 

 $\Phi: X \to T$ 

### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

• Finite metric space  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ 

 $\exists T \text{ a tree, } \Phi : X \to T$  $d(x_i, x_j) - 2\delta_X \log(n-2) \le d_T(\Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j)) \le d(x_i, x_j)$ 

- It can be computed in  $O(n^2)$
- Single Linkage Hierarchical Clustering algorithm

• This is the **Gromov embedding**  $\Phi$ , T = Gromov(X, d)

### Gromov product

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left( d(\mathbf{x}, w) + d(\mathbf{y}, w) - d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$



### Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X, d) is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (for  $\delta \ge 0$ ) if

 $\forall x, y, z, w \in X, (x | y)_{w} \ge \min\{(y | z)_{w}, (x | z)_{w}\} - \delta$ 

#### Conclusion

◆ *δ*-hyperbolicity quantifies to which extent (*X*, *d*) is hyperbolic

• The smaller  $\delta$  the more (*X*, *d*) has a « tree structure »

#### Motivation



#### **Embedding with a hierarchical structure**

- Control how much « tree structure » we want
- ✦ Faithful to the original metric
- ✦ Reasonable in terms of computation



◆Observation:  $|d^* \in \underset{d' \in M_n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mu ||d - d'||_{\infty} + \delta_{d'}$ ∀*i*, *j* d'(x<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>j</sub>) ≤ d(x<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>j</sub>)



 $|\Phi, T = \operatorname{Gromov}(X, d^{\star})|$ 



◆Observation:  $|d^* \in \underset{d' \in M_n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mu ||d - d'||_{\infty} + \delta_{d'}$ ∀*i*, *j* d'(*x<sub>i</sub>*, *x<sub>j</sub>*) ≤ d(*x<sub>i</sub>*, *x<sub>j</sub>*)

 $|\Phi, T = \operatorname{Gromov}(X, d^{\star})|$ 

 $d(x_i, x_j) - 2\delta_X \log(n-2) + (2\log(n-2)\mu - 1) \|d - d^{\star}\|_{\infty} \le d_T(\Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j)) \le d(x_i, x_j)$ 

◆ When  $\mu \ge 1/(2 \log(n - 2))$  we improve the lower bound

### Optimization problem

◆ Space of metrics on *n* points

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{D : \operatorname{diag}(D) = 0, D = D^{\mathsf{T}}, D_{ij} \le D_{ik} + D_{kj}\}$$

$$\min_{\substack{D' \in \mathcal{D}_n}} L(D) := \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta_{D'}$$
$$D' \le D$$

 $D_{ij} = d(x_i, x_j)$ 

### Optimization problem

♦ Space of metrics on *n* points

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{ D : \text{diag}(D) = 0, D = D^{\top}, D_{ij} \le D_{ik} + D_{kj} \}$$

$$\min_{\substack{D' \in \mathscr{D}_n}} L(D) := \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta_{D'}$$
$$D' \le D$$

- ◆ Trade-off between fidelity to *D* and small  $\delta$ -hyperbolicity
- ♦  $D \rightarrow \delta_D$  is piecewise affine and not convex
- Complexity in  $O(n^4)$  + not everywhere differentiable
- How to handle the constraints ?

 $D_{ij} = d(x_i, x_j)$ 

#### **\bullet** Smoothing $\delta$ -hyperbolicity

• We replace the min , max in  $\delta$ -hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

#### **\bullet** Smoothing $\delta$ -hyperbolicity

♦ We replace the min , max in  $\delta$ -hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate



#### **\bullet** Smoothing $\delta$ -hyperbolicity

• We replace the min , max in  $\delta$ -hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$LSE_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(\sum_{i} e^{\lambda x_{i}})$$

♦ Smoothed δ-hyperbolicity: differentiable but still  $O(n^4)$ 

$$\delta^{(\lambda)} = \text{LSE}_{\lambda} \left( \left\{ \text{LSE}_{-\lambda} \{ (y \mid z)_{w}, (x \mid z)_{w} \} - (x \mid y)_{w} \right\}_{x, y, z, w} \right)$$

$$\delta - \frac{\log(2)}{\lambda} \le \delta^{(\lambda)} \le \delta + \frac{4\log(n)}{\lambda}$$

### **\bullet** Smoothing $\delta$ -hyperbolicity

♦ We replace the min , max in  $\delta$ -hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$LSE_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(\sum_{i} e^{\lambda x_{i}})$$

◆ Smoothed δ-hyperbolicity: differentiable but still  $O(n^4)$ 

$$\delta^{(\lambda)} = \mathrm{LSE}_{\lambda} \left( \left\{ \mathrm{LSE}_{-\lambda} \{ (y \mid z)_{w}, (x \mid z)_{w} \} - (x \mid y)_{w} \right\}_{x, y, z, w} \right)$$

#### **+** Batched $\delta$ -hyperbolicity

- Sample *m* points among the *n*
- ◆ Do that *K* times: gives  $X_1, \dots, X_K \subset X$
- $\diamond$  Compute  $\delta_{X_1}^{(\lambda)}, \dots, \delta_{X_K}^{(\lambda)}$
- Complexity  $O(K \cdot m^4)$

### **\bullet** Smoothing $\delta$ -hyperbolicity

♦ We replace the min , max in  $\delta$ -hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$LSE_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(\sum_{i} e^{\lambda x_{i}})$$

◆ Smoothed δ-hyperbolicity: differentiable but still  $O(n^4)$ 

$$\delta^{(\lambda)} = \mathrm{LSE}_{\lambda} \left( \left\{ \mathrm{LSE}_{-\lambda} \{ (y \mid z)_{w}, (x \mid z)_{w} \} - (x \mid y)_{w} \right\}_{x, y, z, w} \right)$$

#### **+** Batched $\delta$ -hyperbolicity

Sample *m* points among the *n*Do that *K* times: gives X<sub>1</sub>, …, X<sub>K</sub> ⊂ X
Compute δ<sup>(λ)</sup><sub>X<sub>1</sub></sub>, …, δ<sup>(λ)</sup><sub>X<sub>K</sub></sub>
Complexity O(K · m<sup>4</sup>) ↓ Under some hypothesis, close to δ with high prob.

### Optimization problem

◆ Space of metrics on *n* points

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{D : \operatorname{diag}(D) = 0, D = D^{\mathsf{T}}, D_{ij} \leq D_{ik} + D_{kj}\}$$

$$\min_{\substack{D' \in \mathcal{D}_n}} L(D) := \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta_{D',K,m}^{(\lambda)}$$
$$D' \leq D$$

 $D_{ij} = d(x_i, x_j)$ 

### Optimization problem

♦ Space of metrics on *n* points

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{ D : \text{diag}(D) = 0, D = D^{\mathsf{T}}, D_{ij} \le D_{ik} + D_{kj} \}$$

$$\min_{\substack{D' \in \mathcal{D}_n}} L(D) := \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta_{D',K,m}^{(\lambda)}$$
$$D' \le D$$

Projected gradient descent

Algorithm  $G_t = \nabla L(D_t)$   $D_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \text{Adam}(G_t, D_t)$  $D_t = \Pi(D_{t+\frac{1}{2}})$ 

$$\Pi(W) = \underset{D \in \mathcal{D}_n: D \le W}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|D - W\|_F^2$$

- How to compute this projection ?
- Answer: this is a shortest path problem

 $D_{ij} = d(x_i, x_j)$ 

#### The metric nearest problem



#### The metric nearest problem

(Brickell, 2008)



$$\forall p, \operatorname{argmin}_{D \in \mathcal{D}_n: D \le W} \|D - W\|_{\ell_p} = \operatorname{ShortestPath}(W)$$

#### The metric nearest problem



#### The metric nearest problem





#### Floyd-Warshall

(Brickell, 2008)



◆ Finds
ShortestPath(W)
◆ Runs in O(n<sup>3</sup>)

#### ✦ DeltaZero

$$G_{t} = \nabla L(D_{t}) // O(K \cdot m^{4} + n^{2})$$
  

$$D_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \text{Adam}(G_{t}, D_{t})$$
  

$$D_{t} = \text{FloydWarshall}(D_{t+\frac{1}{2}}) // O(n^{3})$$
  
if output tree:  $\Phi, T = \text{Gromov}(D_{\infty}) // O(n^{2})$ 

#### ✦ Ilustrations



#### **+** Ilustrations



**Original Graph** 

LaveringTree TreeRep

Gromov

HCC

Neighbor Joining DeltaZero (ours)

### On stochastic block model

- SBM with 5 communities. Gives a shortest path matrix D
- $\blacklozenge$  Objective: clustering the nodes of the graph given *D*
- We compute D' = DeltaZero(D)
- We compare clustering (single linkage) with D vs D'



(a) All pairs Shortest-Paths (b) Dendrograms from original and opti-(c) t-SNE plots from original and optidistance matrix D (b) Dendrograms from original and opti-(c) t-SNE plots from original and opti-

### On stochastic block model

- SBM with 5 communities. Gives a shortest path matrix D
- $\blacklozenge$  Objective: clustering the nodes of the graph given *D*
- We compute D' = DeltaZero(D)
- We compare clustering (single linkage) with D vs D'



#### Distorsion on real datasets

• We compute the tree metric  $D_T$  = DeltaZero(D) + Gromov

◆ We evaluate	$ D_T - D  _{\infty}$
---------------	-----------------------

	Unweighted graphs				Non-graph metrics		
Datasets	C-ELEGAN	CS PhD	CORA	AIRPORT	WIKI	ZEISEL	IBD
n	452	1025	2485	3158	2357	3005	396
Diameter	7	28	19	12	9	0.87	0.99
NJ	2.97	16.81	13.42	4.18	6.32	0.51	0.90
TR	$5.90\pm$ 0.72	$21.01 \pm 3.34$	$16.86 \pm$ 2.11	$10.00 \pm$ 1.02	$9.97 \pm 0.93$	$0.66\pm 0.10$	$1.60\pm$ 0.22
HCC	$4.31\pm$ 0.46	$23.35 \pm$ 2.07	$12.28\pm$ 0.96	$7.71 \pm 0.72$	$7.20\pm$ 0.60	$0.53\pm$ 0.07	$1.25\pm$ 0.11
LayeringTree	$5.07 \pm 0.25$	$25.48 \pm 0.60$	$7.76 \pm 0.54$	$\underline{2.97} \pm 0.26$	$\underline{4.08} \pm 0.27$	_	_
Gromov	$3.33\pm$ 0.45	$\underline{13.28} \pm 0.61$	$9.34 \pm$ 0.53	$4.08 \pm$ 0.27	$5.54 \pm$ 0.49	$0.43 \pm 0.02$	$1.01\pm 0.04$
DeltaZero	$1.87 \pm 0.08$	$10.31 \pm 0.62$	$7.59 \pm 0.38$	$2.79 \pm 0.15$	$3.56 \pm 0.20$	$0.24 \pm 0.00$	$0.70 \pm 0.03$
Improvement (%)	43.8%	22.3%	2.3%	6.0%	12.7%	44.1 %	22.2%

#### Distorsion on real datasets

• We compute the tree metric  $D_T$  = DeltaZero(D) + Gromov

• We evaluate $  D_T - D  _{\infty}$								
		Unweighted graphs				Non-graph metrics		
Datasets	C-ELEGAN	CS PHD	CORA	AIRPORT	WIKI	ZEISEL	IBD	
n	452	1025	2485 /	3158	2357	3005	396	
Diameter	7	28	19	12	9	0.87	0.99	
NJ	2.97	16.81	13.42	4.18	6.32	0.51	0.90	
TR	$5.90\pm$ 0.72	$21.01 \pm 3.34$	$16.86 \pm 2.11$	$10.00 \pm$ 1.02	$9.97 \pm 0.93$	$0.66\pm$ 0.10	$1.60 \pm 0.22$	
HCC	$4.31\pm$ 0.46	$23.35 \pm 2.07$	$12.28\pm$ 0.96	$7.71 \pm 0.72$	$7.20\pm$ 0.60	$0.53\pm$ 0.07	$1.25\pm$ 0.11	
LayeringTree	$5.07 \pm 0.25$	$25.48 \pm 0.60$	$7.76 \pm 0.54$	$\underline{2.97} \pm 0.26$	$\underline{4.08} \pm 0.27$	_	_	
Gromov	$3.33\pm$ 0.45	$\underline{13.28} \pm 0.61$	$9.34 \pm$ 0.53	$4.08 \pm$ 0.27	$5.54 \pm$ 0.49	$0.43 \pm 0.02$	$1.01\pm$ 0.04	
DeltaZero	$ig $ 1.87 $\pm$ 0.08	$10.31 \pm 0.62$	$7.59 \pm 0.38$	$2.79 \pm 0.15$	$3.56 \pm 0.20$	$0.24 \pm 0.00$	$0.70 \pm 0.03$	
Improvement (%)	43.8%	22.3%	2.3%	6.0%	12.7%	44.1 %	22.2%	

#### Sensitivity analysis



55